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## 2/2 - Tues 7pm NLM Game

$1^{\text {st }}$ OA N/S: Charlie Kobida \& Mike Burns ( $62 \%, 0.56 \mathrm{MP}$ )
$2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{OA} N / \mathrm{S}$ : David Elliott \& Carla Runda ( $57 \%, 0.39 \mathrm{MP}$ )
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{OA} N / \mathrm{S}$ : Patricia Burnam \& Leonard Kanterman (51\%, 0.28
MP)
$1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{OA}$ E/W: Richard Horvitz \& Karen Kalla ( $63 \%, 0.56 \mathrm{MP}$ )
$2^{\text {nd }} O A E / W$ : Caroline Richards \& Lois Jones ( $56 \%, 0.39 \mathrm{MP}$ )
$3^{\text {rd }}$ OA E/W: Darleen Young \& Evelyn McCarthy (53\%, 0.28 MP)
1/29 - Fri 11am NLM Game
$1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{OA}$ N/S: Stephen Baldwin \& Terry Raulin ( $65 \%, 0.72 \mathrm{MP}$ )
$2^{\text {nd }} O A N / S$ : Judy Barron \& Sara Brown ( $63 \%$, 0.50 MP)
$3^{\text {rd }}$ OA N/S: Salty Roark \& Janet Hansen ( $59 \%$, 0.36 MP)
$4^{\text {th }}$ OA N/S: Judy Crotty Hall \& Leonard Kanterman (48\%, 0.25
MP)
$2^{\text {nd }}$ OB N/S: Erin Oblinger \& Betty Murdock ( $47 \%, 0.20 \mathrm{MP}$ )
$1^{\text {st }}$ OA E/W: Patrick Lammers \& Gail Zimmer ( $66 \%, 0.72 \mathrm{MP}$ )
$1^{\text {st }}$ OB E/W: Tim \& Joanne Oppelt ( $58 \%, 0.56$ MP)
$2^{\text {nd }} O B E / W$ : Sandra Fielman \& Elaine Rothstein (51\%, 0.39 MP)
$3^{\text {rd }}$ OB E/W: Anne Crawford \& Mary Jo Everhart (50\%, 0.28 MP)
$2^{\text {nd }}$ OC E/W: Anne Rinaldi \& Pamela Middendorff (50\%, 0.17 MP)

## Grand National Teams - Flight C Results

Congratulations to the Flight C (NLM) finalists from last weekend's GNT District Final. I see many familiar names here. Cincinnati was very well represented.

## Well done!!!!

First Place (15.00 Masterpoints)
Julian Kurtzman - John Williams - Reeta Brendamour -
Barry Wauligman
Second Place (11.25 Masterpoints)
William Cook - Patrick Lammers - Gail Zimmer -
Joseph Kammer
Third/Fourth Place (7.50 Masterpoints)
Carla Runda - David Elliott - Judy Crotty Hall - Mike Burns
M Brian Clausing - Stephen Keys - David Whitesell Joseph Camillus

## CBA Future Master Games

Mon 7PM < 2000
Tue 7PM NLM
Wed 11AM 0-10/Homestyle
Fri 11AM 0-10/NLM + Lecture
Sat 10AM Supervised Play

## Hand of the Week

This week features a rare Tuesday Night deal where playing in a minor really pays:

| Board 14 | - AJ 1072 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East Deals | - AJ 8 |  |
| None Vul | - 75 |  |
|  | \&QJ 5 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 853 \\ & \vee K 643 \\ & Q 964 \\ & \& 87 \end{aligned}$ |  | - KQ64 |
|  |  | -Q10952 |
|  |  | -83 |
|  |  | -93 |
|  | - 9 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 7$ |  |
|  | - AKJ 102 |  |
|  | \& AK 10642 |  |

NS 7e; NS 64; N 5N; S 4N; NS 4\&; NS 1『; Par +1440
Bidding - South has a very special 15 HCP with 6* and $5 \uparrow$. This hand can take a lot of tricks in either minor if we find a fit. We can show this hand by opening 1e ( $12-21$ HCP, $3+$ clubs) and look to rebid * next. This is called a reverse because we bid the lower suit $1^{\text {st }}$ and the higher suit $2^{\text {nd }}$. Reversing in $*$ over requires at least 5 , 4 and $18+$ HCP (or 15+ with extra distribution). Remember with 6-5 we come alive. North has 13 HCP and 5s spades. Once partner opens $1 \boldsymbol{i}$, North should be thinking game. Their $1^{\text {st }}$ response is $1 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}(6+\mathrm{HCP}, 4+\boldsymbol{\phi})$. South can now reverse by bidding $2 \uparrow(18-21 \mathrm{HCP}, 5+\boldsymbol{*}, 4+\downarrow)$. North will likely rebid 3NT at many tables with both majors stopped. South should not settle for 3NT and should bid on to $4 \uparrow$ ( $6+\infty, 5+\downarrow$, slam invitational). North with 3 and 2 Aces in the majors should now be very interested in a slam. If you ask for Keycards you will find all 5 ( 4 aces and the $K$ ) which will allow you to bid 6e with confidence.
Bidding after a Reverse - A reverse is usually forcing to game unless responder shows 5-6 HCP. How does responder show a VERY WEAK hand? 2 ways:

1) Return to opener's $1^{\text {st }}$ suit - any other bid shows game going values. Opener can pass or insist on game with the right hands.
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2) Rebid 2 of your major -OR- 2NT (Lebensohl) as a transfer to 3e. If opener rebids their suit you may pass only with a misfit.
Regardless of which method you choose to use, any other bid by responder is GAME FORCING. What about the $4^{\text {th }}$ suit? Technically, $4^{\text {th }}$ suit forcing is NOT in play when partner has already made a game forcing bid (a Reverse is considered a Game Force, equivalent to a Jump Shift by opener). There are 3 reasonable interpretations of the $4^{\text {th }}$ Suit bid here:

1) A natural suit, aiming at slam.
2) An advance cuebid for one of opener's 2 suits.
3) A "PUNT" bid, looking for 3-card support or a stopper for NT. (3 NT shows a $\vee$ stopper).
Assume the bidding now goes $1 \mathbf{N}-1-2 \downarrow-2 \vee$. South can rebid $3>$ to show their $6-5$ pattern knowing they are going to game. North knowing they have a 9 -card * fit and excellent possibilities for slam should bid 4* to set trumps, allowing you to cue bid controls or initiate Minorwood if you play this convention. This helps you reach 7 because you can confirm the $\uparrow$ K.

Play of the Hand - Playing 6 on any lead declarer (South) 3 losers ( $3 \uparrow$ ). You can afford to draw 1 round of trump and still ruff $2 \diamond$ in dummy returning with $\vee$ ruffs to draw trumps for all 13 tricks and +940 .

Post Mortem - With hands that have unique distribution like South's on this deal you need to think beyond HCP and focus more on what tricks you have. When South shows their 6-5 pattern North needs to reassess their hand for slam possibilities. With 2 Aces in the majors and club support, $6 \boldsymbol{e}$ is a safe contact.

Post Mortem II - Should 7\& be bid? Many experts say if you expect most pairs to settle for game then you need to see 13 tricks to bid the Grand Slam. Simply bidding the small slam is enough. If you are sure the opponents will bid the small slam the odds need to be about 56-57\% in favor of making 13 tricks. To make 7 you need to break 3-3 or 4-2 (84\%), and trumps no worse than 3-1 (90\%). Odds of this
are $\sim 76 \%$ so 7 is right. In club games, many will not bid a 28 HCP slam.


NS 7\&; NS 6ヶ; N 5N; S 4N; NS 44; NS 1ヶ; Par +1440

| Contract |  |  | Score | Matchpoints |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

For a detailed discussion of Grand Slam odds see the following article on Bridge Winners:
http://bridgewinners.com/article/view/gambling -at-bridge-part-5-grand-slams/

