5/10 - Tues 7pm NLM Game
$1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{OA} N / \mathrm{S}$ : Alex Grimm \& Patrick Lammers (55\%, 0.56 MP)
$2^{\text {nd }} O A N / S$ : David Elliott \& Carla Runda (52\%, 039 MP)
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{OA}$ N/S: Charlie Kobida \& Mike Burns (51\%, 0.28 MP)
$1^{\text {st }}$ OA E/W: Gail Zimmer \& Jan Polk ( $58 \%, 0.56 \mathrm{MP}$ )
$2^{\text {nd }} O A E / W$ : Elva Jung \& Liz Lin
(52\%, 0.39 MP)
$3^{\text {rd }}$ OA E/W: Salty Roark \& Richard Koch
(51\%, 0.28 MP)
$1^{\text {st }}$ OB E/W: Darleen Young \& Evelyn McCarthy (50\%, 0.18 MP)

5/6 - Fri 11am NLM Game
$1^{\text {st }}$ OA N/S: Janet Hansen \& Nancy Cameron (58\%, 0.64 MP)
$2^{\text {nd }} O A N / S$ : Stephen Baldwin \& Terry Raulin (55\%, 0.45 MP)
$3^{\text {rd }}$ OA N/S: Patrick Lammers \& Gail Zimmer (53\%, 0.32 MP)
$1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{OB}$ N/S: Betty Murdock \& Erin Oblinger (51\%, 0.40 MP)
$2^{\text {nd }} O B N / S$ : Fran Hamilton \& Elaine Rothstein
(48\%, 0.28 MP)
$1^{\text {st }}$ OA E/W: Reeta Brendamour \& Linda Pretz (67\%, 0.64 MP)
$2^{\text {nd }} O A E / W:$ Paul De Percin \& Jan Thurnau (57\%, 0.48 MP )
$3^{\text {rd }}$ OA E/W: Louise Wolf \& Liz Lin
(52\%, 0.32 MP)
$2^{\text {nd }}$ OB E/W: Debra Chavez \& Carolyn Murray (50\%, 0.34 MP)

## CBA Future Master Games

Mon 7PM < 2000
Tue 7PM NLM
Wed 11AM 0-10/Homestyle
Fri 11AM 0-10/NLM + Lecture
Sat 10AM Supervised Play

## Tuesday Night Special

5/17 \$1 Pizza with entry. Board a Match Teams

## Upcoming Tournaments

Lexington Sectional - May 13-15
http://tournaments.acbl.org/schedule.php?tourid=15046

Indianapolis Sectional - May 20-22
http://tournaments.acbl.org/schedule.php?tourid=24193
Cincinnati Regional - May 30-June 5
http://www.cincybridge.com/CincinnatiFlyingPigHomePage.html

## Hand of the Week

This week features a Friday deal where we finally favor the minors for slam:


NS 7N; NS 7『; NS 6ヶ; NS 54; NS 4\&; Par +1520
Bidding - North with 13 HCP opens 1\$ (12-21 HCP, 3+ diamonds). South with 17 HCP should immediately be thinking game at a minimum and slam if a trump fit is found or partner has extra values for 6 or 7NT. South can start with a 1 response ( $6+\mathrm{HCP}, 4+$ hearts). North will rebid 14 (4 spades typically). South now has a bidding dilemma. They still want to be in hearts if partner has 3 card support. They want to investigate this and make sure partner cannot pass short of game at least. In basic Standard American you can lie a little by rebidding 2 (4+ clubs, 10+ HCP, forcing) or $3 \vee$ ( $6+$ hearts, game forcing). You can
also bid directly to game (3NT) or pursue slam in diamonds as partner must have at least 4 diamonds in this auction since they do not have 4 hearts for you. You can pursue slam in diamonds as your hand is worth at least 19 support points if diamonds are trump. I hate giving up on hearts though so I would choose to lie a little and rebid 2e. North will now bid $2 \diamond$ to show their 5+ card diamond suit and denying 3 card heart support. Confident that we don't have a heart fit and knowing the South hand is now worth 20 support points (one more for extra trump) asking for aces or key cards and getting to $6 \leqslant$ is a reasonable result.

If you play Fourth Suit Forcing to Game - If you play this convention, the rebid by South is artificial (says nothing about their club holding) and is forcing to game. This makes it much easier to investigate slam and does not mislead partner about our club length. North with respond $2 \checkmark$ as before but now South can raise to $3 \diamond$ showing trump support and looking for slam. They do not have to fear a pass of $3 \diamond$ because they are already committed to game. Cue-bidding can now take place to more accurately figure out the correct contract. In this case you will still most likely end up in $6 \diamond$ but a few Souths may try 6NT if they know North has AK of spades to stop the opponents from running the suit.

Play of the Hand - For $6 \downarrow$, North has 4 losers (2 $\downarrow, 1 \diamond$, 1\%). The spades losers can be trumped in dummy. You can take finesses in diamonds and clubs to eliminate these losers. In total you will win all 13 tricks for +940 . If you decided for 6NT you can take a similar play except you will need to pitch a spade loser on a winning club in dummy and finesse the $\uparrow Q$ to win the $13^{\text {th }}$ trick for +1020 .

Post Mortem - Sometimes playing in the minors can be beneficial when you have distribution. In this case getting to $6 \diamond$ over 3NT is clearly better. Playing Fourth Suit Forcing to Game (http://web2.acbl.org/documentLibrary/play/Commo nly Used Conventions/4thsuitforcing.pdf) can help
you investigate the right contract and level without fear of being passed by partner short of game.

| Board 8 | - AK 102 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Deals | ヤJ6 |  |
| None Vul | -QJ 1073 |  |
|  | - Q 10 |  |
| - Q 54 <br> - Q 1053 <br> -42 <br> -8753 |  | - 98763 |
|  | $W$N <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> $S$ | $\checkmark 42$ |
|  |  | -K5 |
|  |  | \& K 964 |
|  | - J |  |
|  | - AK 987 |  |
|  | - A986 |  |
|  | - AJ2 |  |

NS 7N; NS 74; NS 6 $\mathbf{~ ; ~ N S ~ 5 4 ; ~ N S ~ 4 * ; ~ P a r ~ + 1 5 2 0 ~}$

| Contract |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Score } \\ \text { N-S E-W } \end{array}$ | Matchpoints |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N-S | E-W |
| 6 NT | S | 7 | 1020 | 12.00 | 0.00 |
| 6 NT | N | 6 | 990 | 11.00 | 1.00 |
| 6 | N | 7 | 940 | 7.50 | 4.50 |
| 6 | N | 7 | 940 | 7.50 | 4.50 |
| 6 | N | 7 | 940 | 7.50 | 4.50 |
| 6 | N | 7 | 940 | 7.50 | 4.50 |
| 6 | N | 7 | 940 | 7.50 | 4.50 |
| 6 | N | 7 | 940 | 7.50 | 4.50 |
| 3 NT | S | 7 | 520 | 3.50 | 8.50 |
| 3 NT | N | 7 | 520 | 3.50 | 8.50 |
| 3 NT | N | 5 | 460 | 2.00 | 10.00 |
| 3 | N | 7 | 190 | 1.00 | 11.00 |
| 3 | N | 6 | 170 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| 6 | N | 6 | 920 | 5.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 NT | S | 6 | 490 | 4.00 | 1.00 |
| 3 NT | S | 5 | 460 | 3.00 | 2.00 |
| 5 | N | 7 | 440 | 2.00 | 3.00 |
| 3 NT | N | 4 | 430 | 1.00 | 4.00 |
| 6 | S | -1 | 50 | 0.00 | 5.00 |

